

A Characterization of Realizability Toposes

Jonas Frey

April 29, 2014

Abstract

Realizability toposes over partial combinatory algebras (PCAs) were introduced in 1980 by Hyland, Johnstone, and Pitts [12], and they are important in categorical logic as models of non-classical logics and type systems. Except for the trivial case of **Set**, realizability toposes are never Grothendieck toposes – in particular they are not cocomplete.

This paper answers the question for an ‘extensional’ characterization of realizability toposes over PCAs, comparable to Giraud’s [8] 1963 characterization of categories of sheaves on sites.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem *A locally small category $\mathcal{E}$ is equivalent to a realizability topos $\mathbf{RT}(\mathcal{A})$ over a PCA $\mathcal{A}$, if and only if*

1. $\mathcal{E}$ is exact and locally cartesian closed,
2. $\mathcal{E}$ has enough projectives, and the subcategory $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$ of projectives is closed under finite limits,
3. the global sections functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ has a right adjoint ∇ factoring through $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$, and
4. there exists a separated¹ and discrete projective $D \in \mathcal{E}$ such that for all projectives $P \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists a prone $u : P \rightarrow D$. ■

It is not difficult to see that the conditions are necessary: 1 holds for any topos, and 2 and 3 are well known properties of realizability toposes. The object D of condition 4 is the *partitioned assembly* $(\mathcal{A}, \text{id})$ whose underlying set is the PCA, and where every element is realized by itself.

The bigger problem is to show that the conditions are sufficient, and in particular to *reconstruct* the PCA from $\mathbf{RT}(\mathcal{A})$ making only use of 1–4. The underlying set is given by $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma D$, but how do we recover the partial application mapping $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$? The key idea here is adopted from Hofstra’s [9] work on *basic combinatory objects* (BCOs): very roughly speaking, we first reconstruct the class of *$\mathcal{F}$ subcomputable functions* – i.e. subsets of partial functions $a \mapsto e \cdot a$

¹The terms *separated*, *discrete*, and *prone* are explained in Definition 3.9 (but none of them are original).

for fixed $e \in \mathcal{A}$ – as those functional spans $\Gamma D \leftarrow U \rightarrow \Gamma D$ that are in the image of Γ , and then recover the application map as tracking function of a ‘universal evaluation map’ in a weakly locally cartesian closed category of partitioned assemblies defined over $\mathcal{F}$.

The work of Hofstra that we build on introduces BCOs, which are partial orders equipped with a monoid of monotone partial endofunctions, as a generalization of ordered PCAs (OPCAs). BCOs are analyzed using 2-categorical tools after embedding them into the locally ordered category **IOrd** of indexed preorders, and Hofstra shows in particular that the concept of ‘OPCA with a filter’ corresponds to ‘cartesian BCO such the free completion of the associated indexed preorder under existential quantification is a tripos’. This is the result that we build on to reconstruct PCAs from realizability toposes.

Since we are interested in PCAs and not OPCAs we use the unordered version of BCOs, which we call *discrete combinatory objects* (DCOs) (this has the advantage that the essential image of the embedding of DCOs into **IOrd** has an easy characterization, which does not seem to be the case for BCOs). Moreover, our approach to realizability toposes in this work is via exact completion and not via triposes, which is why we use partitioned assemblies and not triposes to recover the application.

In Section 2, we recall basic facts about indexed preorders, and introduce the notion of *shallow* indexed preorder. In Section 3 we review relevant facts about exact completion, in particular Carboni and Rosolini’s [6] characterization of exact completions that are locally cartesian closed, and Menni’s [25] characterization of exact completions that are toposes. In Section 4 we review PCAs and introduce realizability toposes using Robinson and Rosolini’s [27] approach via exact completions of partitioned assemblies. Section 5 is about DCOs, and Section 6 contains the proof of the theorem.

It should be pointed out that the presented result depends on the axiom of choice since it relies on the fact that realizability toposes are exact completions of their subcategories of partitioned assemblies, which is only true in the presence of choice. A comparable result in absence of choice and several generalizations thereof are proved in [7] using techniques based on fibrations.

2 Indexed preorders

A (**Set**-)indexed preorder is a pseudofunctor $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ord}$, where **Ord** is the locally ordered category of preorders and monotone maps. For $I \in \mathbf{Set}$, the preorder $\mathcal{A}(I)$ is called the *fiber of $\mathcal{A}$ at I* .

Adopting the point of view of categorical logic [20, 21, 14], we refer to the elements of $\mathcal{A}(I)$ as *predicates on I* . For morphisms $f : J \rightarrow I$ we usually write f^* for the monotone map $\mathcal{A}(f) : \mathcal{A}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(J)$, and call it the *reindexing map along f* . For example, given $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(I)$, the predicate $f^*(\varphi)$ is the *reindexing of φ along f* . Indexed preorders $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ord}$ and pseudonatural transformations form a locally ordered category **IOrd**.

Definition 2.1 The *total category* (or *Grothendieck construction*) of an indexed preorder $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ord}$ is the category $\int \mathcal{A}$ where

- objects are pairs $(I \in \mathbf{Set}, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}(I))$, and

- morphisms $(I, \varphi) \rightarrow (J, \psi)$ are functions $f : I \rightarrow J$ such that $\varphi \leq f^*(\psi)$ in $\mathcal{A}(I)$. $\diamond$

There is a projection functor²

$$|\cdot| : \int \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \quad \text{defined by} \quad |(I, \varphi)| = I, \quad |f| = f. \quad (2.1)$$

2.1 Cartesian indexed preorders

A *cartesian object* [9, 26] in a 2-category $\mathfrak{C}$ with finite 2-products³ is an object $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that the diagonal morphism $A \rightarrow A \times A$ and the terminal projection $A \rightarrow 1$ have right adjoints.

For example, a cartesian object in the 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}$ of small categories is a small category with finite products, and a cartesian object in $\mathbf{Ord}$ is a preorder A with finite meets (which we denote by $\top \in A$ and $\wedge : A \times A \rightarrow A$ as usual).

For cartesian objects in $\mathbf{IOrd}$ (called *cartesian indexed preorders*), the following standard⁴ lemma gives several alternative characterizations.

Lemma 2.2 *The following are equivalent for an indexed preorder $\mathcal{A}$.*

1. $\mathcal{A}$ is a cartesian object in $\mathbf{IOrd}$.
2. All fibers $\mathcal{A}(I)$ have finite meets which are preserved by reindexing.
3. $\int \mathcal{A}$ has finite limits which are preserved by $|\cdot| : \int \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.
4. $\int \mathcal{A}$ has finite products preserved by $|\cdot| : \int \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Proof. The fibers of $\mathcal{A}$ have finite meets if and only if all maps $! : \mathcal{A}(I) \rightarrow 1$ and $\delta : \mathcal{A}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(I) \times \mathcal{A}(I)$ have right adjoints. These fiberwise adjoints give rise to pseudonatural transformations $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ if and only if meets are preserved by reindexing. Thus, 1 and 2 are equivalent.

Assuming 2, a pullback of $(J, \psi) \xrightarrow{f} (I, \varphi) \xleftarrow{g} (K, \theta)$ in $\int \mathcal{A}$ is given by

$$(J, \psi) \times_I (K, \theta) = (J \times_I K, \psi \wedge_I \theta)$$

where $\psi \wedge_I \theta = \pi_1^*(\psi) \wedge \pi_2^*(\theta)$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J \times_I K & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & (K, \theta) \\ \pi_1 \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow g \\ (J, \psi) & \xrightarrow{f} & (I, \varphi) \end{array}$$

is a pullback in $\mathbf{Set}$. A terminal object is given by $(1, \top)$.

Conversely, assuming 4, a binary meet of $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ is given by

$$\varphi \wedge \psi = \delta_I^*(\theta) \quad \text{where} \quad (I, \varphi) \times (I, \psi) = (I \times I, \theta).$$

A greatest element in $\mathcal{A}(I)$ is given by the second component of $!^*(1)$, where 1 is terminal in $\int \mathcal{A}$, and $! : I \rightarrow |1|$ is the terminal projection. $\blacksquare$

²This functor is a *Grothendieck fibration* (see [14]), but we do not make use of that.

³2-products are **Cat**-enriched products. For more on enriched limits, see [18, Chapter 3], and for more on 2-categorical limits, see [17] and [19, Section 6].

⁴A related result can be found in [29, Theorem 8.5].

For any cartesian indexed preorder $\mathcal{A}$, the projection functor $|\cdot| : \int \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ has a right adjoint given by

$$T : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \int \mathcal{A}, \quad I \mapsto (I, \top). \quad (2.2)$$

The unit of this adjunction is componentwise monic (since its image under $|\cdot|$ is and $|\cdot|$ is faithful), and the counit is the identity.

2.2 Shallow indexed preorders

For a cartesian indexed preorder $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ord}$, the *global sections functor* $\Gamma : \int \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ of its total category $\int \mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$\Gamma : (I, \varphi) \mapsto (\int \mathcal{A})(1, (I, \varphi)) = \{i \in I \mid \top \leq i^*(\varphi)\}.$$

Since $\Gamma(I, \varphi) \subseteq I$ for all sets I , Γ is a *subfunctor* of $|\cdot| : \int \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Definition 2.3 A cartesian indexed preorder $\mathcal{A}$ is called *shallow*, if either of the following equivalent conditions holds.

1. The inclusion $\Gamma \subseteq |\cdot| : \int \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ of functors is an equality.
2. $\int \mathcal{A}$ is well pointed.
3. $\mathcal{A}(1)$ is equivalent to the terminal preorder. $\diamond$

Since $\Gamma = |\cdot|$ for shallow indexed preorders, the functor (2.2) is right adjoint to the global sections functor, and we adopt the common practice of denoting it by ∇ in this case. Thus we have

$$\Gamma \dashv \nabla : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \int \mathcal{A}, \quad \nabla(I) = (I, \top) \quad (2.3)$$

for shallow $\mathcal{A}$.

Example 2.4 For any set I denote by $\tau(I)$ the partial order of topologies on I , ordered by reverse inclusion. The assignment $I \mapsto \tau(I)$ is contravariantly functorial in I , and $\tau(-)$ is a shallow indexed preorder which is strongly related to equilogical spaces [1]. $\diamond$

3 Exact completion

Recall that a *regular category* is a finite-limit category with pullback-stable regular-epi/mono factorizations. An *equivalence relation* in a category $\mathbb{C}$ is a jointly monic pair $r_1, r_2 : R \rightarrow A$ such that for all $U \in \mathbb{C}$ the image of $\mathbb{C}(U, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(U, A) \times \mathbb{C}(U, A)$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{C}(U, A)$. Kernel pairs are always equivalence relations, and an *exact category* is a regular category in which every equivalence relation is a kernel pair (see e.g. [2, Chapter 2] or [15, Section A1.3]; in the latter exact categories are called *effective regular*).

A *regular functor* between regular categories is a functor which preserves finite limits and regular epimorphisms. $\mathbf{Ex}$ is the 2-category of exact categories and regular functors. There is a forgetful functor $\mathbf{Ex} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Lex}$ into the 2-category of finite-limit categories and finite-limit preserving functors, and this functor has a left biadjoint

$$\mathbf{Lex} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ex}, \quad \mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathbb{C}_{\text{ex}}$$

called *exact completion*, as Carboni and Celia-Magno [3] showed following a suggestion by Joyal. We point out that although they didn't emphasize it, it is obvious from the construction that the exact completion of a locally small category is locally small.

A (regular) *projective* object in a regular category $\mathbb{R}$ is an object P such that $\mathbb{R}(P, e) : \mathbb{R}(P, A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(P, B)$ is surjective for all regular epimorphisms $e : A \twoheadrightarrow B$. Using this concept, we can characterize exact completions⁵.

Theorem 3.1 1. For any finite-limit category $\mathbb{C}$, the unit functor $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\text{ex}}$ is full and faithful, and its essential image is the subcategory of $\mathbb{C}_{\text{ex}}$ on projective objects.

2. An exact category $\mathbb{X}$ is equivalent to an exact completion if and only if it has enough projectives (i.e. every object X can be covered by a projective P via a regular epi $P \twoheadrightarrow X$), and projectives in $\mathbb{X}$ are closed under finite limits. ■

3.1 Locally cartesian closed exact completions and toposes

A finite-limit category $\mathbb{C}$ is called *weakly cartesian closed*, if all presheaves of the form $\mathbb{C}(- \times B, C)$ can be covered by representables, i.e. for every pair $B, C \in \mathbb{C}$ of objects there exists an $X \in \mathbb{C}$ and a morphism $\varepsilon : X \times B \rightarrow C$ such that the induced natural transformation

$$\widehat{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{C}(-, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(- \times B, C)$$

is componentwise surjective. In this case, we call the pair (X, ε) a *weak exponential* of B and C . $\mathbb{C}$ is called *weakly locally cartesian closed*, if all slice categories $\mathbb{C}/I$ for $I \in \mathbb{C}$ are weakly cartesian closed. The following theorem is due to Carboni and Rosolini [6].

Theorem 3.2 An exact completion $\mathbb{C}_{\text{ex}}$ is locally cartesian closed if and only if $\mathbb{C}$ is weakly locally cartesian closed. ■

The following lemma simplifies the proof of Lemma 4.5 below.

Lemma 3.3 If $\mathbb{C}$ is weakly cartesian closed and U is subterminal in $\mathbb{C}$, then $\mathbb{C}/U$ is weakly cartesian closed as well.

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B \rightarrow 1$ and $C \rightarrow 1$ factor through $U \rightarrow 1$. It is easy to see that if (X, ε) is a weak exponential of B and C in $\mathbb{C}$, then $(X \times U, \varepsilon \times U)$ is a weak exponential of $B \rightarrow U$ and $C \rightarrow U$ in $\mathbb{C}/U$. ■

To characterize the finite-limit categories $\mathbb{C}$ whose exact completions are *toposes*, we furthermore need Menni's concept of *weak proof classifier*.

⁵The first reference that I could find for this result is Robinson and Rosolini's [27, Proposition 4.1]. There it is attributed to Joyal, Carboni, and Celia-Magno, but on my inquiry Rosolini told me that they discovered it themselves and learned later from Carboni that he already knew.

Definition 3.4 A *weak proof classifier* in a finite-limit category $\mathbb{C}$ is a map $t : T \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that for any $f : J \rightarrow I$ there exists a $\chi : I \rightarrow \Sigma$ and maps $h : J \rightarrow P$ and $k : P \rightarrow J$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} J & \xrightleftharpoons[k]{h} & P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & T \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow g & \lrcorner & \downarrow t \\ & & I & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \Sigma \end{array}$$

satisfying $gh = f$ and $fk = g$ where g is the pullback of t along χ . $\diamond$

Remark 3.5 A weak proof classifier can be defined as *generic predicate* (see Definition 5.3-2 below) in Lawvere's *proof-theoretic power set functor* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ord}$ [22, 24, 25]. $\diamond$

Theorem 3.6 (Menni [25]) $\mathbb{C}_{\text{ex}}$ is a topos if and only if $\mathbb{C}$ is weakly locally cartesian closed and has a weak proof classifier. $\blacksquare$

3.2 Local exact completions

Lemma 3.7 Let $\mathbb{C}$ be a locally small finite-limit category whose global sections functor has a right adjoint $\Gamma \dashv \nabla : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then $\Gamma \dashv \nabla$ is a reflection.

Proof. For any set I there is a sequence

$$\Gamma \nabla I = \mathbb{C}(1, \nabla I) \cong \mathbf{Set}(\Gamma 1, I) \cong \mathbf{Set}(1, I) \cong I$$

of natural bijections, thus $\Gamma \nabla \cong \text{id}_{\mathbf{Set}}$. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 3.8 Let $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ be a locally small exact completion (i.e. $\mathbb{X}$ is locally small and has enough projectives, and the subcategory $\mathbb{C}$ of projectives is closed under finite limits) such that $\Gamma : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ has a right adjoint $\nabla : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then ∇ is also right adjoint to the global sections functor $\Gamma : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ of $\mathbb{X}$.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathbb{X}$. Then X can be covered by a projective $P \in \mathbb{C}$ via a regular epi $e : P \twoheadrightarrow X$, and by covering the kernel of e by another projective $Q \in \mathbb{C}$, we can represent X as a coequalizer

$$Q \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} P \twoheadrightarrow X.$$

The functor $\Gamma : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is regular since 1 is projective in $\mathbb{X}$, hence

$$\Gamma Q \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Gamma f} \\ \xrightarrow{\Gamma g} \end{array} \Gamma P \xrightarrow{\Gamma e} \Gamma X$$

is a coequalizer as well. For $I \in \mathbf{Set}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}(X, \nabla I) &\cong \{h : P \rightarrow \nabla I \mid h \circ f = h \circ g\} \\ &\cong \{k : \Gamma P \rightarrow I \mid k \circ \Gamma f = k \circ \Gamma g\} \cong \mathbf{Set}(\Gamma X, I) \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\mathbf{Set}(\Gamma -, I) : \mathbb{C}_{\text{ex}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is representable. $\blacksquare$

In the situation of the previous lemma, the functors Γ and ∇ give rise to a finite limit preserving idempotent monad $\nabla\Gamma : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ ⁶ by Lemma 3.7. We use the following terminology.

Definition 3.9 Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a locally small exact category such that $\Gamma : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ has a right adjoint $\nabla : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$.

1. An object $A \in \mathbb{X}$ is called *separated*, if $\eta_A : A \rightarrow \nabla\Gamma A$ is monic.
2. $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *prone*, if the naturality square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \\ \eta_A \downarrow \lrcorner & f & \downarrow \eta_B \\ \nabla\Gamma X & \xrightarrow{\nabla\Gamma f} & \nabla\Gamma Y \end{array}$$

is a pullback (observe that X is separated whenever Y is separated and f is prone).

3. $D \in \mathbb{X}$ is called *discrete* if it is right orthogonal to all prone regular epis, i.e. if in any diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & D \\ e \downarrow & \nearrow h & \\ Y & & \end{array}$$

where e is a prone regular epi, there exists a (unique) h making the triangle commute. $\diamond$

The term *separated* is standard in the context of localizations. *Prone* is a variation of terminology used by Stekelenburg in [28, Definition 4.2.1] (and it can be viewed as a special case of the usage in [31, 15]). The above definition of *discrete* object is a strengthening of the concept used by Hyland, Robinson, and Rosolini in [13].

Exact categories $\mathbb{X}$ where Γ has a right adjoint are an instance of Longley's $\Gamma\nabla$ -categories [23, Section 1.4.1].

4 Partial combinatory algebras and realizability toposes

- Definition 4.1**
1. A *partial applicative structure* (PAS) is pair $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ of a set $\mathcal{A}$ and a partial binary application operation $(- \cdot -) : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{A}$.
 2. A partial combinatory algebra (PCA) is a pas $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ which contains elements $k, s \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$(i) \ k \cdot a \cdot b = a \quad (ii) \ s \cdot a \cdot b \downarrow \quad (iii) \ a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot c) \preceq s \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c$$

for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. $\diamond$

⁶ Such a monad is called *cartesian reflector* in [15]), and $\mathbf{Set}$ is a *localization* of $\mathbb{X}$ in the terminology of [5].

In this definition, and in general when reasoning with partial terms we use the equality symbol in the sense of ‘both sides are defined and equal’⁷. We write $t \downarrow$ to mean that the term t is defined, which is thus equivalent to $t = t$ with respect to our reading of the equality symbol. We write $s \preceq t$ (or $t \succeq s$) to mean that t is defined whenever s is defined, and then the two are equal – in symbols $s \downarrow \Rightarrow s = t$. Finally, $s \simeq t$ means that s and t are equi-defined and equal on their domain of definition, in symbols $s \downarrow \vee t \downarrow \Rightarrow s = t$. We use the convention that application associates to the left, e.g. $s \cdot x \cdot y \cdot z$ is short for $((s \cdot x) \cdot y) \cdot z$.

Our definition of PCA is a bit more general than the standard one as it can be found for example in [33] – the standard definition replaces condition (iii) with the stronger $s \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c \simeq a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot c)$. There is consensus among experts that the weaker definition is sufficient for all purposes (see the mailing list discussion [16]). It appears in [30, 28], but I’m not aware of any published references⁸. Since we are only using PCAs in the weak sense in this work, we refer to the traditional ones as *strong* PCAs. It seems to be an open question whether every PCA in the weak sense is equivalent to a strong one.

Example 4.2 The archetypal example of a PCA is the *first Kleene algebra* $\mathcal{K}_1$ which has the set $\mathbb{N}$ of natural numbers as underlying set, with application

$$n \cdot m \simeq \phi_n(m) \quad \text{for } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an effective enumeration of partial recursive functions (see [33, Section 1.4], which also contains other examples of PCAs). $\diamond$

Definition 4.3 A *polynomial* over a PAS $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ is a term $t[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ built up from variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ($n \geq 0$), constants in $\mathcal{A}$, and application. We write $t[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ for the (possibly undefined) evaluation of $t[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ substituted for the variables. $\diamond$

Lemma 4.4 (Combinatory completeness) A PAS $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ is a PCA if and only if for every polynomial $t[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ there exists an $e \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$e \cdot a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n \downarrow \quad \text{and} \quad t[a_1, \dots, a_n] \preceq e \cdot a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{n+1}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in \mathcal{A}$. $\blacksquare$

A proof of of this result for strong PCAs can be found in [33, Theorem 1.1.3], and it transfers directly to the weak setting.

Using combinatory completeness one can show that every PCA $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ contains *pairing and projection combinators*, i.e. elements $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{p} \cdot a \cdot b \downarrow \quad \mathbf{p}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{p} \cdot a \cdot b) = a \quad \mathbf{p}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p} \cdot a \cdot b) = b \quad (4.1)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ (see [33, Section 1.1.1]). We need these combinators in the following, where we explain how PCAs give rise to realizability toposes.

⁷A formal logical account of this approach to partial terms is given by *E⁺-logic* [32, Section 2.2].

⁸Hofstra and van Oosten [10] use the weak form of the condition in their definition of *ordered* PCA.

4.1 Partitioned assemblies and realizability toposes

If $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ is a PCA and I is a set, we define a preorder on $\mathcal{A}^I$ by

$$\varphi \leq \psi \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists e \in \mathcal{A} \, \forall i \in I. \, e \cdot \varphi(i) = \psi(i) \quad (4.2)$$

for $\varphi, \psi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. If the statement on the right holds, we say that e *realizes* $\varphi \leq \psi$, or that e is a *realizer* of $\varphi \leq \psi$. Reflexivity and transitivity follow from combinatory completeness, and for any function $f : J \rightarrow I$ the precomposition map $f^* : \mathcal{A}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^J$ is monotone w.r.t. this definition. Thus, we obtain an indexed preorder

$$\text{fam}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) : \mathbf{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ord}.$$

The total category of $\text{fam}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ is known as the category of *partitioned assemblies*⁹, and we denote it by $\mathbf{PAsm}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$. Thus, objects of $\mathbf{PAsm}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ are pairs $(I \in \mathbf{Set}, \varphi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{A})$, and a morphism from (I, φ) to (J, ψ) is a function $f : I \rightarrow J$ such that there exists an $e \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\forall i \in I. \, e \cdot \varphi(i) = \psi(f(i)). \quad (4.3)$$

In analogy to (4.2), we call such an e a *realizer* of $f : (I, \varphi) \rightarrow (J, \psi)$.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ be a PCA.*

1. *The indexed preorder $\text{fam}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ is cartesian and shallow.*
2. *$\mathbf{PAsm}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ is weakly locally cartesian closed and has a weak proof classifier.*

Proof. For the first claim we show that $\text{fam}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ has fiberwise finite meets which are stable under reindexing. Any constant function $\kappa : I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ (say of value $a \in \mathcal{A}$) is a greatest element of $\mathcal{A}^I$, since $k \cdot a$ realizes $\varphi \leq \kappa$ for any $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. A binary meet of $\varphi, \psi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is given by

$$(\varphi \wedge \psi)(i) = \mathbf{p} \cdot \varphi(i) \cdot \psi(i).$$

The inequalities $\varphi \wedge \psi \leq \varphi$ and $\varphi \wedge \psi \leq \psi$ are then realized by $\mathbf{p}_0$ and $\mathbf{p}_1$. If c and d realize $\theta \leq \varphi$ and $\theta \leq \psi$, respectively, then a realizer of $\theta \leq \varphi \wedge \psi$ is given by an e satisfying $\mathbf{p} \cdot (c \cdot a) \cdot (d \cdot a) \preceq e \cdot a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and such an e exists by combinatory completeness. These constructions of fiberwise finite meets are stable under reindexing since they are ‘pointwise’. Furthermore, $\text{fam}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ is shallow since all elements of $\mathcal{A}^1$ are constant and hence greatest elements.

For the second claim, the proof of Lemma 2.2 tells us how to construct finite limits in $\mathbf{PAsm}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$. To show that $\mathbf{PAsm}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ is weakly locally cartesian closed, Lemma 3.3 and the remark after (2.2) imply that it is sufficient to prove weak cartesian closure of slice categories of the form $\text{fam}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)/\nabla I$. A weak exponential of $f_K : (K, \chi) \rightarrow \nabla I$ and $f_L : (L, \theta) \rightarrow \nabla I$ is $f_X : (X, \xi) \rightarrow \nabla I$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{(i \in I, h : K_i \rightarrow L_i, r \in \mathcal{A}) \mid r \text{ realizes } h : (K_i, \chi|_{K_i}) \rightarrow (L_i, \theta|_{L_i})\} \\ f_X(i, h, r) &= i & K_i &= \{k \in K \mid f_K(k) = i\} \\ \xi(i, h, r) &= r & L_i &= \{l \in L \mid f_L(l) = i\} \end{aligned}$$

⁹The concept of partitioned assembly seems to appear for the first time in [4].

together with $\varepsilon : (X, \xi) \times_I (K, \chi) \rightarrow (L, \theta)$ defined by $\varepsilon(i, h, r, a) = h(a)$. A realizer of ε is given by an $e \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $r \cdot a \preceq e \cdot r \cdot a$ for all $r, a \in \mathcal{A}$, which exists by combinatory completeness.

To show that these data constitute a weak exponential, let $f_J : (J, \psi) \rightarrow \nabla I$ and $g : (J, \psi) \times_I (K, \chi) \rightarrow (L, \theta)$ with realizer s and such that g constitutes a morphism $f_J \times f_K \rightarrow f_L$ in $\mathbf{PAsm}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)/\nabla I$.

By combinatory completeness there exists a $t \in \mathcal{A}$ with $s \cdot (p \cdot a \cdot b) \preceq t \cdot a \cdot b$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, and we define $\tilde{g} : (J, \psi) \rightarrow (X, \xi)$ by $\tilde{g}(j) = (f_J(i), g(j, -), t \cdot \psi(i))$. Then $\tilde{g}$ is realized by t and satisfies $f_X \circ g = f_J$ and $\varepsilon \circ (\tilde{g} \times_I (K, \chi)) = g$.

Finally, a weak proof classifier is given by the map $p : (E, \pi) \rightarrow \nabla(P\mathcal{A})$, where $E = \{(U, a) \mid U \subseteq \mathcal{A}, a \in U\}$, $p(U, a) = U$, and $\pi(U, a) = a$. Given $f : (J, \psi) \rightarrow (I, \varphi)$, we define $h : (I, \varphi) \rightarrow \nabla(P\mathcal{A})$ by $h(i) = \{\psi(j) \mid f(j) = i\}$. Then f together with the morphism $k : (J, \psi) \rightarrow (E, \pi)$, $k(j) = (h(f(j)), \psi(j))$ induce a surjective $g : (J, \psi) \rightarrow (I, \varphi) \times_{\nabla(P\mathcal{A})} (E, \pi)$ any of whose sections is realizable. The existence of a section follows from the axiom of choice.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (J, \psi) & & \xleftarrow{k} & & (E, \pi) \\
 & \searrow g & & \searrow \pi_2 & \\
 & (I, \varphi) \times_{\nabla(P\mathcal{A})} (E, \pi) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & & (E, \pi) \\
 & \downarrow \pi_1 & & & \downarrow p \\
 & (I, \varphi) & \xrightarrow{h} & & \nabla(P\mathcal{A}) \\
 & \uparrow f & & & \\
 (J, \psi) & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Now we are ready to give the central definition of realizability topos.

Definition 4.6 Let $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ be a PCA. The *realizability topos* $\mathbf{RT}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ is the exact completion of $\mathbf{PAsm}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$. $\diamond$

Hyland, Johnstone and Pitts [12] introduced realizability toposes as categories of partial equivalence relations and compatible functional relations internal to indexed preorders called *triposes*. The above definition was shown to be equivalent in presence of choice by Robinson and Rosolini [27].

Hyland's *effective topos* [11] is the realizability topos $\mathcal{E}ff = \mathbf{RT}(\mathcal{K}_1)$ over the first Kleene algebra.

5 Discrete combinatory objects

Discrete combinatory objects (DCOs) can be viewed as abstractions of PCAs in which not the binary application, but the class of ‘computable functions’ is taken as primitive (see Definition 5.2). The role of DCOs in the characterization result is that they provide an intermediate step in the reconstruction of a PCA from a topos. DCOs are a special case of Hofstra’s *basic combinatory objects* (BCOs) [9], and almost all of the techniques that we use here (except Lemma 5.4) can already be found Hofstra’s paper.

In the following we present the basic theory of DCOs. We refer to Hofstra’s paper for proofs on several places, but the readers are encouraged to prove the statements themselves, since the proofs are in general less complicated for DCOs than for general BCOs, and essentially all straightforward.

- Definition 5.1**
1. A *DCO* is a pair $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ where A is a set, and $\mathcal{F}_A$ is a set of partial endofunctions on A such that $\text{id}_A \in \mathcal{F}_A$, and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{F}_A$ there exists a $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_A$ with $\beta \circ \alpha \subseteq \gamma$.
 2. A *monotone map* between $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ and $(B, \mathcal{F}_B)$ is a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A$ there exists a $\beta \in \mathcal{F}_B$ with $f \circ \alpha \subseteq \beta \circ f$.
 3. For monotone $f, g : (A, \mathcal{F}_A) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{F}_B)$, we define $f \leq g$ if there exists a $\beta \in \mathcal{F}_B$ with $\beta \circ f = g$. $\diamond$

DCOs and their morphisms form a locally ordered category **DCO**, which has a terminal object $1 = (1, \{\text{id}\})$ and binary 2-products given by

$$(A, \mathcal{F}_A) \times (B, \mathcal{F}_B) = (A \times B, \mathcal{F}_A \otimes \mathcal{F}_B)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_A \otimes \mathcal{F}_B = \{\alpha \times \beta \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A, \beta \in \mathcal{F}_B\}$.

Analogous statements for BCOs can be found in [9, Section 2].

Definition 5.2 Let $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ be a PCA. The set $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A}$ of *computable functions* over $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of partial functions

$$\phi_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \phi_a(b) \simeq a \cdot b$$

for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{A})$ is a DCO by combinatory completeness, and we call it the *DCO induced by* $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$. $\diamond$

5.1 DCOs and indexed preorders

To embed DCOs into indexed preorders, we generalize the construction of the family fibration (4.1) of a PCA.

Concretely, given a DCO $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$, a set I , and functions $\varphi, \psi : I \rightarrow A$, we define $\varphi \leq \psi$ if and only if there exists an $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A$ (called a *realizer of* $\varphi \leq \psi$) such that $\alpha \circ \varphi = \psi$. This yields a preorder on A^I which coincides with the one defined in (4.2) for DCOs induced by PCAs. For any function $f : J \rightarrow I$ the precomposition map $f^* : A^I \rightarrow A^J$ is monotone w.r.t. this definition, and the resulting indexed preorder $\text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A) : \mathbf{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ord}$ is called *family fibration* of $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$.

The assignment $(A, \mathcal{F}_A) \mapsto \text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ extends to a 2-functor

$$\text{fam}(-) : \mathbf{DCO} \rightarrow \mathbf{IOrd}$$

which is a local equivalence¹⁰ and preserves finite 2-products (Hofstra proves an analogous statement for BCOs in [9, Proposition 3.1]). Moreover, there is a straightforward characterization of the essential image of $\text{fam}(-)$, using the following definition.

Definition 5.3 Let $\mathcal{D} : \mathbf{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ord}$ be an indexed preorder.

1. A predicate $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ is called *discrete*, if for any span $I \xleftarrow{e} J \xrightarrow{f} A$ of functions where e is surjective, and any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(I)$ with $e^*(\varphi) \leq f^*(\mu)$, there exists an $h : I \rightarrow A$ such that $he = f$ and $\varphi \leq g^*(\mu)$.

¹⁰I.e. the monotone map $\mathbf{DCO}((A, \mathcal{F}_A), (B, \mathcal{F}_B)) \rightarrow \mathbf{IOrd}(\text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A), \text{fam}(B, \mathcal{F}_B))$ is an equivalence of preorders for all DCOs $(A, \mathcal{F}_A), (B, \mathcal{F}_B)$.

2. $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ is called a *generic predicate*, if for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(I)$ there exists a (not necessarily unique) function $f : I \rightarrow A$ with $\varphi \cong f^*(\mu)$. $\diamond$

Lemma 5.4 *An indexed preorder $\mathcal{D}$ is in the essential image of $\text{fam}(-)$ if and only if it has a discrete generic predicate.*

Proof. First, let $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ be a DCO. The identity map $\text{id}_A \in A^A$ is a generic predicate of $\text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ since every predicate $\varphi : I \rightarrow A$ can be represented as $\varphi^*(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_A \circ \varphi$.

To show that id_A is a discrete predicate, assume that $e^*(\varphi) \leq f^*(\text{id}_A)$ for a span $I \xleftarrow{e} J \xrightarrow{f} A$ with surjective e . By definition of the order on A^I , there exists an $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A$ with $\alpha \circ \varphi \circ e = f$, and the required mediator $g : I \rightarrow A$ is given by $\alpha \circ \varphi$.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{D}$ be an indexed preorder with discrete generic predicate $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Every partial function $\alpha \subseteq A \times A$ gives rise to a span $A \xleftarrow{d} \alpha \xrightarrow{a} A$, and the partial functions α such that $d^*(\mu) \leq a^*(\mu)$ form a DCO structure $\mathcal{F}_A$ on A . The assignment $(f : I \rightarrow A) \mapsto f^*(\mu)$ defines an indexed monotone map $\Phi : \text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, which is essentially surjective since $\mathcal{D}$ has a generic predicate. To show that Φ is fiberwise order-reflecting, let $f, g : I \rightarrow A$ such that $f^*(\mu) \leq g^*(\mu)$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & I & & \\ & f \swarrow & \downarrow e & \searrow g & \\ A & \xleftarrow{m} & U & \xrightarrow{h} & A \end{array}$$

where e, m is a surjective/injective factorization of f . Since $e^*(m^*\mu) \leq g^*(\mu)$ and μ is discrete, there exists a $g : U \rightarrow A$ with $he = g$ and $m^*(\mu) \leq h^*(\mu)$. The span (m, h) constitutes a partial function in $\mathcal{F}_A$ witnessing the inequality $f \leq g$ in $\text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$. $\blacksquare$

Definition 5.5 (Partitioned assemblies) Analogous to PCAs, we define the category $\mathbf{PAsm}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ of partitioned assemblies over a DCO $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ to be the total category of $\text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$. Thus, objects are pairs $(I \in \mathbf{Set}, \varphi : I \rightarrow A)$, and morphisms from (I, φ) to (J, ψ) are functions $f : I \rightarrow J$ such that there exists an $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A$ – called a *realizer* of f – satisfying $\alpha \circ \varphi = \psi \circ f$. $\diamond$

5.2 Cartesian DCOs

A *cartesian DCO* is a cartesian object in $\mathbf{DCO}$, i.e. a DCO $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ such that the monotone maps $! : (A, \mathcal{F}_A) \rightarrow 1$ and $\delta : (A, \mathcal{F}_A) \rightarrow (A, \mathcal{F}_A) \times (A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ have right adjoints

$$\top : 1 \rightarrow (A, \mathcal{F}_A) \quad \text{and} \quad \wedge : (A, \mathcal{F}_A) \times (A, \mathcal{F}_A) \rightarrow (A, \mathcal{F}_A).$$

Since $\text{fam}(-)$ is a local equivalence and preserves finite 2-products, $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is cartesian in $\mathbf{DCO}$ if and only if $\text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is cartesian in $\mathbf{IOrd}$, which by Lemma 2.2 is equivalent to $\text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ having fiberwise finite meets stable under reindexing. In particular, for cartesian $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ a greatest element of A^I in $\text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is given by $\top \circ !_A$, and $\wedge \circ \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ is a meet of $\varphi, \psi : I \rightarrow A$.

The following lemma gives a concrete characterization of cartesian DCOs.

Lemma 5.6 $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is cartesian if and only if there exists an element $\top \in A$, a function $\wedge : A \times A \rightarrow A$, and partial functions $\lambda, \rho \in \mathcal{F}_A$ such that

1. the constant function $c_\top$ with value $\top$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}_A$,
2. for all $a, b \in A$ we have $\lambda(a \wedge b) = a$ and $\rho(a \wedge b) = b$, and
3. for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{F}_A$ there exists a $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_A$ with $\wedge \circ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \subseteq \gamma$.

[Here $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ denotes the partial function $(\alpha \times \beta) \circ \delta_A$.]

Proof. Assume first that $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is cartesian. Then $\top$ and $\wedge$ are the right adjoints to $!$ and δ . The inequality $\text{id}_A \leq \top \circ !$ in $\mathbf{DCO}((A, \mathcal{F}_A), (A, \mathcal{F}_A))$ implies that $c_\top \in \mathcal{F}_A$, and λ and ρ are the realizers of $\wedge \leq \pi_1$ and $\wedge \leq \pi_2$, respectively. For the third condition, let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{F}_A$, let $U \subseteq A$ be the intersection of the domains of α and β (which is precisely the domain of $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$), and let $\iota, \varphi, \psi : U \rightarrow A$ be the inclusion and the restrictions of α and β to U . Then α and β realize $\iota \leq \varphi$ and $\iota \leq \psi$, and for γ a realizer of $\iota \leq \varphi \wedge \psi$ we have $\gamma \circ \iota = \varphi \wedge \psi$. The claim follows since $\gamma \circ \iota \subseteq \gamma$ and $\varphi \wedge \psi = \wedge \circ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$.

Conversely assume that conditions 1–3 hold. We show that for any set I the function of constant value $\top$ is a greatest element of A^I , and that for $\varphi, \psi : I \rightarrow A$ the function $\wedge \circ \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ is a meet of φ and ψ . The former follows from 1 since $c_\top$ realizes $\varphi \leq c_\top$ for any φ . For the latter claim, λ and ρ realize $\wedge \circ \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \leq \varphi$ and $\wedge \circ \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \leq \psi$. Let $\theta : I \rightarrow A$ and let α and β be realizers of $\theta \leq \varphi$ and $\theta \leq \psi$. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_A$ such that $\gamma \supseteq \wedge \circ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$. Precomposing with θ on both sides gives $\gamma \circ \theta \supseteq \wedge \circ \langle \alpha \circ \theta, \beta \circ \theta \rangle = \wedge \circ \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ and since the right hand side is total, the two are equal. ■

5.3 Shallow DCOs

A cartesian DCO $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is called *shallow*, if $\text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is shallow. We have the following characterization.

Lemma 5.7 Let $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ be a cartesian DCO. The following are equivalent.

1. $\text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is a shallow indexed preorder.
2. For all $a \in A$ there exists an $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A$ with $\alpha(\top) = a$.
3. For any $a \in A$, the constant function c_a with value a is contained in $\mathcal{F}_A$.

Proof. If $\text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is shallow, then A^1 is equivalent to the terminal preorder, thus $t \leq a$ for all $a \in A$. This implies 2.

To show that 2 implies 3, assume that $\alpha(\top) = a$. The function $c_\top$ of value constant $\top$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}_A$ for any cartesian DCO, and $\alpha \circ c_\top = c_a$.

Finally, 3 implies that $A^1 \simeq 1$ since for all $a, b \in A^1$ the inequality $a \leq b$ is realized by c_b . ■

5.4 Functionally complete DCOs

We shall see that a shallow DCO $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is induced by a PCA if and only if the exact completion $\mathbf{PAsm}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)_{\text{ex}}$ of the partitioned assemblies is a topos. The concept of functional completeness (which is adapted from [9, Proposition 6.3 (ii)]) arises naturally in this context.

Definition 5.8 A cartesian DCO $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is called *functionally complete*, if there exists an $@ \in \mathcal{F}_A$ (called the *universal function*) such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A$ there exists a *total* $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}_A$ satisfying $\alpha(a \wedge b) \preceq @(\tilde{\alpha}(a) \wedge b)$. for all $a, b \in A$. $\diamond$

Lemma 5.9 DCOs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_A)$ induced by PCAs are functionally complete.

Proof. By combinatory completeness there exists an $e \in \mathcal{A}$ with $(p_0 \cdot a) \cdot (p_1 \cdot a) \preceq e \cdot a$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, which implies $a \cdot b \preceq e \cdot (p \cdot a \cdot b)$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. We define the universal function by $@ = \phi_e$. Now given $r \in \mathcal{A}$, combinatory completeness gives us $\tilde{r} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $r \cdot (p \cdot a \cdot b) \preceq \tilde{r} \cdot a \cdot b$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. This implies $\phi_r(a \wedge b) \preceq @(\phi_{\tilde{r}}(a) \wedge b)$. ■

Example 5.10 The set Pr of primitive recursive functions is a shallow cartesian DCO structure on $\mathbb{N}$ which is *not* functionally complete – the existence of a universal primitive recursive function would lead to a contradiction by diagonalization. $\diamond$

Theorem 5.11 If $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is a cartesian DCO such that $\mathbf{PAsm}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is weakly locally cartesian closed, then $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is functionally complete.

Proof. Let $E = \{(\alpha, a, b) \mid \alpha : A \rightarrow A, f(a) = b\}$, and let $F = (A \rightarrow A)$ be the set of partial endofunctions on A . Define $\varphi, \psi : E \rightarrow A$ by $\varphi(\alpha, a, b) = a$ and $\psi(\alpha, a, b) = b$, respectively. Let $f_1 : (E, \varphi) \rightarrow \nabla F$ and $f_2 : (E, \psi) \rightarrow \nabla F$ be defined by $f_1(\alpha, a, b) = f_2(\alpha, a, b) = \alpha$. Let $f_X : (X, \xi) \rightarrow \nabla F$ together with $\varepsilon : (X, \xi) \times_F (E, \varphi) \rightarrow (E, \psi)$ be a weak exponential of f_1 and f_2 in $\mathbf{PAsm}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)/\nabla F$. Let $@$ be a realizer of ε . To show that $@$ is a universal function let $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A$. and define $f : (A, \text{id}) \rightarrow \nabla F$ by $f(a) = \alpha(a \wedge -)$. A pullback of f and e_1 is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S, \theta) & \xrightarrow{k} & (E, \varphi) \\ \downarrow h & \lrcorner & \downarrow e_1 \\ (A, \text{id}) & \xrightarrow{f} & \nabla F \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} S = \{(a, b, c) \mid \alpha(a \wedge b) = c\} \\ \theta(a, b, c) = a \wedge b \\ h(a, b, c) = a \\ k(a, b, c) = (f(a), b, c) \end{array}$$

where h and k are realized by λ and ρ , respectively. Define $l : (S, \theta) \rightarrow (E, \psi)$ with the same underlying function as k . Then l is realized by α . Let $\Lambda(l) : (A, \text{id}) \rightarrow (X, \xi)$ be a weak exponential transpose, and define $\tilde{\alpha}$ to be a realizer of $\Lambda(l)$. The relevant data is summarized in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (A, \text{id}) & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\alpha}]{\Lambda(l)} & (X, \xi) & & \\ \uparrow h \lambda & & \uparrow \pi_1 \lambda & & \\ (S, \theta) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda(l) \times_F \text{id}} & (X \times_F E, \xi \wedge_F \varphi) & \xrightarrow[@]{\varepsilon} & (E, \psi) \\ \downarrow k \rho & & \downarrow \pi_2 \rho & & \\ (E, \varphi) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & (E, \varphi) & & \end{array}$$

where the left/upper labels of arrows are functions, and the right/lower labels are their realizers. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ l &= \psi \circ \varepsilon \circ (\Lambda(l) \times_F \text{id}) \\ &= @ \circ (\xi \wedge_F \varphi) \circ (\Lambda(l) \times_F \text{id}) \\ &= @ \circ \wedge \circ \langle \xi \circ \Lambda(l) \circ h, \varphi \circ k \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$c = \psi(l(a, b, c)) = @((\xi \circ \Lambda(l) \circ h)(a, b, c) \wedge (\varphi \circ k)(a, b, c)) = @(\tilde{\alpha}(a) \wedge b)$$

for $(a, b, c) \in S$, which means precisely that $\alpha(a \wedge b) \preceq @(\tilde{\alpha}(a) \wedge b)$ for $a, b \in A$. ■

Remark 5.12 The above result can be strengthened to an equivalence, i.e. if $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is functionally complete then $\mathbf{PAsm}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is weakly locally cartesian closed. However, the implication proved above is sufficient for our purposes. ◇

Given a functionally complete DCO $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ with universal function $@$, we define a obtain $(A, \cdot)$ by defining a partial application function

$$a \cdot b \simeq @(a \wedge b) \quad \text{for } a, b \in A. \quad (5.1)$$

In the following lemma we show that this gives a PCA.

Lemma 5.13 *Let $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ be a functionally complete and shallow DCO.*

1. *For any polynomial $t[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over $(A, \cdot)$ there exists an $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A$ with*

$$t[a_1, \dots, a_n] \preceq \alpha(\top \wedge a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n)$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ (by convention $\wedge$ associates to the left).

2. *For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A$ and $n > 0$ there exists an $e \in A$ such that*

$$e \cdot a_1 \dots a_{n-1} \downarrow \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(\top \wedge a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) \preceq e \cdot a_1 \dots a_n$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$.

3. *$(A, \cdot)$ is a PCA, and the induced DCO is isomorphic to $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$.*

Proof. The first claim is shown by induction on the structure of $t[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. If $t[x_1, \dots, x_n] \equiv x_i$ then $\alpha = \rho \circ \lambda^{n-i}$. If $t[x_1, \dots, x_n] \equiv a$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ a constant, then $\alpha = c_a$ which is contained in $\mathcal{F}_A$ by Lemma 5.7-3.

If $t[x_1, \dots, x_n] \equiv u[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cdot v[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then by assumption there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{F}_A$ such that $\alpha(a^*) \simeq u[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ and $\beta(a^*) \simeq v[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $a^* = \top \wedge a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n$. By Lemma 5.6 there exists a $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_A$ such that $\gamma \supseteq \wedge \circ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, and the calculation

$$(@ \circ \gamma)(a^*) \succeq @(\wedge \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle(a^*)) \simeq \alpha(a^*) \cdot \beta(a^*) \simeq u[a_1, \dots, a_n] \cdot v[a_1, \dots, a_n]$$

shows that $@ \circ \gamma$ has the required property.

For the second claim, set $\alpha_0 = \alpha$, $a_0^* = \top$; and $\alpha_{i+1} = \tilde{\alpha}_i$ and $\alpha_{i+1}^* = \alpha_i^* \wedge a_i$ for $0 < i \leq n$. Then α_i is total for $i > 0$, $\alpha_{i+1}(a_{n-i-1}^*) \cdot a_{n-i} = \alpha_i(a_{n-i}^*)$ for $0 < i \leq n$, and $\alpha_1(a_{n-1}^*) \cdot a_n \succeq \alpha(a_n^*)$. With $e = \alpha_n(\top)$ the claim follows by iterating.

1 and 2 together imply that $(A, \cdot)$ is combinatory complete, and thus a PCA. To show that the induced DCO structure is equivalent to $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$, we show that for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A$ there exists an $e \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\alpha \subseteq \phi_e$, and vice versa. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_A$ and $a \in A$ we have

$$\alpha(a) \simeq (\alpha\rho)(\top \wedge a) \preceq @((\widetilde{\alpha \circ \rho})(\top) \wedge a) \simeq (\widetilde{\alpha \circ \rho})(\top) \cdot a,$$

thus the required element is given by $(\widetilde{\alpha \circ \rho})(\top)$. Conversely, $\phi_e \in \mathcal{F}_A$ for any $e \in A$ since it can be represented as $@ \circ \langle c_e, \text{id} \rangle$. ■

Remark 5.14 The preceding lemma implies that DCOs induced by PCAs can be characterized as functionally complete and shallow DCOs. In the same way, DCOs that are functionally complete but not necessarily shallow correspond to *inclusions* of PCAs (see [7, Corollary 4.10.7]; Hofstra [9, Proposition 6.6] proves the analogous result for OPCAs). $\diamond$

6 Characterization

Theorem 6.1 *A locally small category $\mathcal{E}$ is equivalent to a realizability topos if and only if*

1. $\mathcal{E}$ is exact and locally cartesian closed,
2. $\mathcal{E}$ has enough projectives, and $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$ is closed under finite limits,
3. $\Gamma : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ has a right adjoint ∇ factoring through $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$, and
4. there exists a separated and discrete projective $D \in \mathcal{E}$ such that for all projectives $P \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists a prone $u : P \rightarrow D$.

Proof. We first show that the conditions hold for realizability toposes. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ be a PCA. Then $\mathbf{RT}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) = \mathbf{PAsm}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)_{\text{ex}}$ is exact by definition. Local cartesian closure follows from Lemma 2 and Theorem 3.2. Condition 2 follows from Theorem 3.1 and 3 follows from Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 4.5-1.

For condition 4, D is given by $(\mathcal{A}, \text{id})$, which is separated since $\eta_{(\mathcal{A}, \text{id})} : A \rightarrow \nabla A$ is monic. If (I, φ) is another projective, the prone map is given by $\varphi : (I, \varphi) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \text{id})$.

To show that $(\mathcal{A}, \text{id})$ is discrete we note first that id_A is a discrete predicate in $\text{fam}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$, and thus $(\mathcal{A}, \text{id})$ is right orthogonal to maps $(J, e^*(\varphi)) \rightarrow (I, \varphi)$ in $\mathbf{PAsm}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ for surjective $e : J \twoheadrightarrow I$. In other words, $(\mathcal{A}, \text{id})$ is right orthogonal to prone epis between projectives in $\mathbf{PAsm}(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)_{\text{ex}}$. Now let $u : Y \twoheadrightarrow X$ be an arbitrary prone epi, let $e : P \rightarrow X$ be a projective cover of X , and consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q & \xrightarrow{p} & Y & \xrightarrow{\eta_Y} & \nabla \Gamma Y \\ \downarrow v & \lrcorner & \downarrow u & \lrcorner & \downarrow \nabla \Gamma e \\ P & \xrightarrow[e]{} & X & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & \nabla \Gamma X \end{array} \quad (*)$$

The square $(*)$ is the pullback of u and e , and since both are regular epis it is also a pushout (easy exercise in regular categories). Q is projective as pullback of the cospan $P \rightarrow \nabla \Gamma X \leftarrow \nabla \Gamma Y$ of projectives. Let $f : Y \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \text{id})$. Since $(\mathcal{A}, \text{id})$ is right orthogonal to v , there exists a $g : P \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \text{id})$ with $fp = gv$, and since $(*)$ is a pushout, there exists an $h : X \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \text{id})$ with $hu = f$ and $he = g$.

Conversely, assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is a category satisfying conditions 1–4. To prove that $\mathcal{E}$ is a realizability topos we construct a shallow indexed preorder $\mathcal{A}$ which has a discrete generic predicate $\iota \in \mathcal{A}(A)$ and such that $\int \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$.

For $I \in \mathbf{Set}$, define $\mathcal{A}(I)$ to be the subcategory of $\mathcal{E}/\nabla I$ on those $f : P \rightarrow \nabla I$ that have projective domain and are inverted by Γ . If f is inverted by Γ then it is initial in P/∇ since $P/\nabla \cong \Gamma P/\mathbf{Set}$; and thus $f \cong \eta_P$. Condition 4 implies that

all projectives are separated, thus f is monic and $\mathcal{A}(I)$ is a preorder. The fact that Γ preserves pullbacks implies that $\mathcal{A}(I)$ is closed under meets, and that for every $f : J \rightarrow I$, the pullback functor $f : \mathcal{E}/\nabla I \rightarrow \mathcal{E}/\nabla J$ restricts to a functor $\mathcal{A}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(J)$. Thus, we have a cartesian indexed preorder $\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ord}$.

$\mathcal{A}(1)$ consists of monomorphisms $P \rightarrowtail 1$ such that P has one global element. In this case, P has to be terminal, which implies that $\mathcal{A}(1)$ is equivalent to the terminal preorder, and that $\mathcal{A}$ is shallow.

We can define a functor $\mathbf{Proj}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \int \mathcal{A}$ by $P \mapsto (\Gamma P, \eta_P)$, which turns out to be an equivalence since $\Gamma(f)$ invertible implies $f \cong \eta_P$ for every $f : P \rightarrow \nabla I$ as explained earlier.

Defining $A = \Gamma D$, the element $\iota = \eta_D \in \mathcal{A}(A)$ is a generic predicate since for every projective P there exists a prone $u : P \rightarrow D$, and the fact that η_D is discrete in $\mathcal{A}$ follows from discreteness of D in $\mathcal{E}$.

Now Lemma 5.4 gives a DCO structure $\mathcal{F}_A$ on A with $\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{fam}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$, and from Theorem 3.1 we can deduce that $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathbf{PAsm}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)_{\text{ex}}$. Theorem 3.2 implies that $\mathbf{PAsm}(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is weakly locally cartesian closed, and Theorem 5.11 implies that $(A, \mathcal{F}_A)$ is functionally complete. Finally, Lemma 5.13 implies that the DCO structure $\mathcal{F}_A$ on A is induced by a PCA structure. ■

Acknowledgements

This work presents the main result of the author's PhD thesis [7].

Besides my supervisor Paul-André Melliès I want to thank Thomas Streicher for long email exchanges, and Pino Rosolini for discussions about exact completion.

References

- [1] Andrej Bauer, Lars Birkedal, and Dana S Scott. Equilogical spaces. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 315(1):35–59, 2004.
- [2] F. Borceux. *Handbook of categorical algebra. 2*, volume 51 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. Categories and structures.
- [3] A. Carboni and R. Celia Magno. The free exact category on a left exact one. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society (Series A)*, 33(03):295–301, 1982.
- [4] A. Carboni, P. Freyd, and A. Scedrov. A categorical approach to realizability and polymorphic types. *Mathematical Foundations of Programming Language Semantics*, pages 23–42, 1988.
- [5] A. Carboni, G. Janelidze, G.M. Kelly, and R. Paré. On localization and stabilization for factorization systems. *Applied Categorical Structures*, 5(1):1–58, 1997.
- [6] A. Carboni and G. Rosolini. Locally cartesian closed exact completions. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 154(1):103–116, 2000.

- [7] J. Frey. *A fibrational study of realizability toposes*. PhD thesis, Paris 7 University, 2013.
- [8] J. Giraud. Analysis situs. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 63:11, 1963.
- [9] P.J.W. Hofstra. All realizability is relative. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 141(02):239–264, 2006.
- [10] P.J.W. Hofstra and J. van Oosten. Ordered partial combinatory algebras. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 134(3):445–463, 2003.
- [11] J.M.E. Hyland. The effective topos. In *The L.E.J. Brouwer Centenary Symposium (Noordwijkerhout, 1981)*, volume 110 of *Stud. Logic Foundations Math.*, pages 165–216. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [12] J.M.E. Hyland, P.T. Johnstone, and A.M. Pitts. Tripos theory. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 88(2):205–231, 1980.
- [13] J.M.E. Hyland, E.P. Robinson, and G. Rosolini. The discrete objects in the effective topos. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 60(1):1–36, 1990.
- [14] B. Jacobs. *Categorical logic and type theory*. Elsevier Science Ltd, 2001.
- [15] P.T. Johnstone. *Sketches of an elephant: a topos theory compendium. Vol. 1*, volume 43 of *Oxford Logic Guides*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 2002.
- [16] P.T. Johnstone, P. Lietz, J. Longley, T. Streicher, and J. van Oosten. Discussion about PCAs on the categories mailing list. Accessible at <http://comments.gmane.org/gmane.science.mathematics.categories/2153>, 2003.
- [17] G.M. Kelly. Elementary observations on 2-categorical limits. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 39(2):301–317, 1989.
- [18] G.M. Kelly. Basic concepts of enriched category theory. *Repr. Theory Appl. Categ.*, (10):vi+137, 2005. Reprint of the 1982 original [Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge; MR0651714].
- [19] S. Lack. A 2-categories companion. In *Towards higher categories*, pages 105–191. Springer, 2010.
- [20] F.W. Lawvere. Adjointness in foundations. *Dialectica*, 23(3-4):281–296, 1969.
- [21] F.W. Lawvere. Equality in hyperdoctrines and the comprehension schema as an adjoint functor. *Applications of Categorical Algebra*, 17:1–14, 1970.
- [22] F.W. Lawvere. Adjoints in and among bicategories. In *Lecture notes in pure and applied mathematics*, pages 181–190. Marcel Dekker, 1996.
- [23] J. Longley. *Realizability toposes and language semantics*. PhD thesis, University of Edinburgh, 1995.
- [24] M. Menni. *Exact completions and toposes*. PhD thesis, University of Edinburgh, 2000.

- [25] M. Menni. A characterization of the left exact categories whose exact completions are toposes. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 177(3):287–301, 2003.
- [26] nLab. cartesian object. <http://ncatlab.org/nlab/revision/cartesian+object/4>.
- [27] E. Robinson and G. Rosolini. Colimit completions and the effective topos. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 55(2):678–699, 1990.
- [28] W.P. Stekelenburg. *Realizability Categories*. PhD thesis, Utrecht University, 2013.
- [29] T. Streicher. Fibred Categories à la Jean Bénabou. Unpublished manuscript, available at <http://www.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/~streicher/FIBR/FibLec.pdf>.
- [30] T. Streicher. Realizability. Lecture notes, available at <http://www.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/~streicher/REAL/REAL.pdf>.
- [31] Paul Taylor. *Practical foundations of mathematics*, volume 59. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [32] A.S. Troelstra and D. Van Dalen. *Constructivism in Mathematics: An Introduction*, volume 1. Elsevier Science & Technology, 1988.
- [33] J. van Oosten. *Realizability: An Introduction to its Categorical Side*. Elsevier Science Ltd, 2008.